

ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

How systematic reviews and meta-analyses based on individual participant data can inform trial design and conduct

Jayne Tierney^{1*}, Claire Vale¹, Jean-Pierre Pignon², Francois Gueffier³, Lisa Askie⁴, Mike Clarke⁵

From 2nd Clinical Trials Methodology Conference: Methodology Matters
Edinburgh, UK. 18-19 November 2013

Introduction

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses provide an objective and reliable way of summarising trial results, and can inform both clinical practice and the design, conduct and reporting of trials. However, those based on aggregate data are sometimes limited by the availability of such data, while those based on more comprehensive individual participant data (IPD) can provide more detailed and reliable results. Also, IPD meta-analyses provide a resource for secondary hypothesis testing, which can produce further clinical insight, and rely on close collaboration with trials organisations worldwide. Thus, IPD meta-analyses have the potential to better inform new and on-going trials.

Methods

Initially, we sought examples of IPD meta-analyses that have directly influenced the design and conduct of trials, via a workshop of international experts in the field, and subsequently, through this subgroup of workshop attendees. We also considered additional ways that IPD meta-analysis could impact on trials.

Results

In terms of trial design, IPD meta-analyses results have informed the choice of comparators; definition of trial populations; sample size calculations and effect sizes to target. They have also been the catalyst for international collaboration on new trials; justified both continuing and stopping trial recruitment, and informed stratification of trial analyses. They have the potential to inform other aspects, such as the choice and definition of outcomes. We illustrate these impacts in a range of health

care areas including cancer, cardiovascular disease, stroke and neonatal care.

Conclusions

IPD meta-analyses have impacted on trials in various ways, but might be utilised more widely.

Authors' details

¹MRC Clinical Trials Unit Hub for Trials Methodology Research, London, UK.

²Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France. ³UMR5558, CNRS, Lyon, France.

⁴NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, Sydney, Australia. ⁵All-Ireland Hub for Trials Methodology Research, Belfast, Ireland.

Published: 29 November 2013

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-14-S1-O94

Cite this article as: Tierney et al.: How systematic reviews and meta-analyses based on individual participant data can inform trial design and conduct. *Trials* 2013 **14**(Suppl 1):O94.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



¹MRC Clinical Trials Unit Hub for Trials Methodology Research, London, UK
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article